From: <u>2023wetc@kavi.iapmo.org</u> on behalf of <u>Taylor Duran</u>

To: <u>2023wetc@kavi.iapmo.org</u>

Subject: [EXTERNAL][2023wetc] 2022 WEStand ROP Third Circulation of Negative Comments

Date: Tuesday, August 23, 2022 10:53:22 AM

Attachments: 2022 WEStand ROP Third Circulation of Comments.pdf

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear WE•Stand Technical Committee Members,

In accordance with Section 5.6 of the <u>Regulations Governing Consensus Development of the Water Efficiency and Sanitation Standard</u>, I have attached the additional negative comments *received after the second recirculation period* to allow the committee the opportunity to review the comments.

The ballot material for the subject documentation is now available on Kavi.

_

The additional negatives received are for Item #032, Item #038, Item #055, Item #079, Item #123, Item #127, and Item #128. Therefore, these items have been reopened to allow the committee the opportunity to review the comments.

If you do not wish to change your vote, no action is required. However, if you wish to change your vote after review of comments, you may do so by **Tuesday**, **August 30**, **2022**, at **5:00 PM (PT)**. Any affirmative voters can change their vote.

Thank you for your willingness to serve on this committee.

TAYLOR DURAN

Code Development Administrator USHGC & WE-Stand Staff Liaison

Office: (909) 218-8126

Email: taylor.duran@iapmo.org IAPMO World Headquarters 4755 E. Philadelphia Street

Ontario, CA 91761

2022 WE•Stand ROP Third Circulation of Comments

Ballot Name:	Item # 032	
Voter Name	Vote	Comments
Pape, Thomas	Negative w/comment	I am uncertain that "should" belongs in any text except informative notes.

Ballot Name:	Item # 038	
Voter Name	Vote	Comments
Klein, Gary	Negative w/comment	Upon further review, I agree that the definition of water feature needs to
		be revised.

Ballot Name:	Item # 055	
Voter Name	Vote	Comments
McLeod, Cambria	Negative w/comment	I agree with the American Supply Association (Jim Kendzel) and the
		Southern Nevada Water Authority (Kent Sovocool).

Ballot Name:	Item # 079	
Voter Name	Vote	Comments
Barnes, Samantha	Negative w/comment	I agree with many of the negative comments provided. There seems to be
		minimal risk to health and safety in small-scale applications. Especially
		when considered to the risks of using other common methods, such as
		animal manure or conventional fertilizers. The benefits of urine reuse in
		single-family property applications may outweigh the risks, and requiring
		permits would only serve to impede those benefits.

Ballot Name:	Item # 123	
Voter Name	Vote	Comments
Lando, Pat	Negative w/comment	I agree with John Koeller. This needs to be cleaned up during public
		comment.

Ballot Name:	Item # 127	
Voter Name	Vote	Comments
McLeod, Cambria	Negative w/comment	The standards need to be investigated in more detail.

Ballot Name:	Item # 128	
Voter Name	Vote	Comments
McLeod, Cambria	Negative w/comment	I agree with Jim Kendzel and hope the task group can get there soon.